



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/771,935	02/05/2004	Samuel Alan Johnson	3769 EXAMINER	
26582 7	7590 11/17/2006	,		
HOLLAND & HART, LLP			LAUX, JESSICA L	
P.O BOX 8749 DENVER, CO 80201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3635	
			DATE MAILED: 11/17/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	,	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/771,935	JOHNSON, SAM	UEL ALAN
		Examiner	Art Unit	
•		Jessica Laux	3635	
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communicate Reply	ion appears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence ac	ddress
WHICI - Extens after S - If NO; - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate to reply is specified above, the maximum statutor to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, ply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF THIS COMI CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, ation. The period will apply and will expire SIX by statute, cause the application to be	MUNICATION. may a reply be timely filed  (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a) ☐ 3 3) ☐ 3	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)[Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice of the condition for the closed in accordance with the practice of the condition for the closed in accordance with the practice of the condition for the closed in accordance with the practice of the condition for the condi	☑ This action is non-final. allowance except for formate		e merits is
Dispositio	on of Claims			
5)	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are with the above claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> are subject to restriction are	vithdrawn from consideratio	ın.	
Application	on Papers			
10)□ T	The specification is objected to by the Ex The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	accepted or b) object to the drawing(s) be held in a correction is required if the da	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). rawing(s) is objected to. See 37 C	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority doc  2. Certified copies of the priority doc  3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International see the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been receive cuments have been receive ne priority documents have Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	d. d in Application No been received in this National	l Stage
2) Notice 3) Inform	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	948) Par	erview Summary (PTO-413) per No(s)/Mail Date ice of Informal Patent Application er:	

Application/Control Number: 10/771,935

Art Unit: 3635

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-6, drawn to a space frame, classified in class 52, subclass 648.1.
- Claims 7-8, drawn to an astronomical telescope, classified in class 359, subclass 857.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because claims 7-8 do not recite any limitations pertaining to the frame structure. The subcombination has separate utility such as a portable antennae mast.

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or

Application/Control Number: 10/771,935

Art Unit: 3635

includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/771,935

Art Unit: 3635

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jessica Laux whose telephone number is 571-272-8228. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday, 8:30am to 4:00pm (est).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Naoko Slack can be reached on 571-272-6848. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JL 11/08/2006